

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,493	07/07/2003		Newton E. Ball	5402.005	6346
34282	7590 11/17/2004			EXAMINER	
•		DY STREICH LA	NGUYEN, TUYEN T		
ONE SOUTH CHURCH AVENUE SUITE 1700				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TUCSON, A	AZ 85701	1-1621	2832		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/614,493	BALL, NEWTON E.			
Office Actio	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		TUYEN T NGUYEN	2832			
	TE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	1			
Period for Reply						
THE MAILING DATE OF  - Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the  - If the period for reply specified a  - If NO period for reply is specifie  - Failure to reply within the set or	THIS COMMUNICATION. able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 mailing date of this communication. bove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply d above, the maximum statutory period vextended period for reply will, by statute later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time, within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to con	nmunication(s) filed on		•			
2a) This action is FINA		action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above of 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/ar 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/8) ☐ Claim(s) are Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is	e rejected. are objected to. e subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.  r election requirement. r.				
Applicant may not re Replacement drawin	quest that any objection to the g sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objarning. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
		arminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-132.			
a) All b) Some  1. Certified cop  2. Certified cop  3. Copies of th  application f	s made of a claim for foreign  * c) None of:  bies of the priority documents  bies of the priority documents  e certified copies of the prior  rom the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (I Delta Notice of Draftsperson's Pate Information Disclosure Stater Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Page 2

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henninger [US 5,805,045] in view of Soto et al. [US 6,222,437]

Henninger discloses a transformer including a foil winding [figures 1-2], the foil winding including an end portion [2] including one or more layers, at least one of the layers being divided to form a plurality of strips [3a-d], wherein at least one strip is folded [figures 2-4] and at least one other strip is folded over the at least one strip to form a stack portion [see figures 2-6], wherein the stack portion being extends from the transformer.

Henninger discloses the instant claimed invention except for the stack portion being secured to a mounting board.

Soto et al. discloses a transformer including a folded type winding having end portions [52, 54] secure to a PC board.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to secure the stack portion of Henninger to the PC board, as suggested by Soto et al., for the purpose of facilitating connection.

Claims 5-6 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henninger in view of Soto et al. as applied to claims 16 and 22 above, and further in view of Kakehashi et al. [US 5,117,215].

Henninger in view of Soto et al. discloses the instant claimed invention except for a bobbin with a discontinuous flange with at least one section orthogonal to a main axis of the bobbin and at least one section parallel to the main axis of the bobbin.

Kakehashi et al. discloses a bobbin structure for an inductive device including a discontinuous flange with at least one section orthogonal to a main axis of the bobbin and at least one section parallel to the main axis of the bobbin [see figure 2].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the bobbin/flange design of Kakehashi et al. in Henninger, as modified, for the purpose of supporting core structure.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2832

Claims 1-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double

patenting as being unpatentable over claims 11-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,642,830. Although the

conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they

are both claiming the same invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TUYEN T NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN Tol

Tayla T. Nguyla